

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
104

CWP-5286-2020

Date of decision: March 01, 2023

MUSTAQ AHMED AND ANR

.....PETITIONERS

Versus

THE CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION THROUGH ITS
ADMINISTRATOR AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Rajnikant Upadhyay, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have been approaching the respondents for redressal of their grievance but without any result leading to the serving of the legal notice through a counsel dated 08.11.2019 (Annexure P-8). The said legal notice has not been considered and decided by the respondents till date.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the respondents to consider and take a decision on the legal notice served by the petitioners within some specified time, as referred to above.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 and Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate, on behalf of respondent No. 2.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the

respondents to consider the legal notice dated 08.11.2019 (Annexure P-8) served by the petitioners and take a decision thereon within a period of eight weeks. The decision, so taken, be conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

March 01, 2023
PJ

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether reportable: *Yes/No*