

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-8735-2019
Date of Decision: 14.02.2023

Vinod Bhati
..... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present Mr. Randeep Rai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate and
Mr. Farhad Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, D.A.G, Haryana.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate,
Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate,
Mr. Rajat Sheokand, Advocate and
Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Affidavit regarding criminal history of the petitioner is taken on record.

Petitioner was granted interim bail way back in the year 2019 and the said order is continuing till date.

Counsel for the complainant opposes the continuation of interim bail on the ground that after that a compromise had taken place twice and both the times, the petitioner resiles from honoring commitments made in such agreement/compromise. He further submits that the amount involved is of Rs. 2 crores.

Given above, this cannot be a ground to cancel the bail granted in the year 2019.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would have no objection in case any stringent conditions this Court might put upon the petitioner.

The present petition is allowed and interim order dated 27.02.2019 is made absolute subject to comply with the further condition:

Within ten days from today, the petitioner shall hand over to the Investigator/SHO and the complainant/victim(s) the complete details of bank account numbers with addresses, fixed deposits, DEMAT account numbers, the current market value of jewelry, sovereign metals, all precious articles, held either individually or jointly, and cash-in-hand on affidavit duly notarized against proper receipt.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

14.02.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No