

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.245-a

CRM-M-8978-2019

Date of Decision : April 21, 2023

Des Raj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Gurlal Singh Dhillon, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Rajpal Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for quashing of complaint No.13, dated 23.12.2009, Police Station Talwara, District Hoshiarpur and order dated 08.03.2010 whereby the petitioners have been summoned under Sections 323, 452, 427, 341, 148, 149, 504, 506 & 120-B IPC on the basis of compromise dated 03.02.2019 (Annexure P-2).

2. Pursuant to order dated 28.02.2019, report dated 30.03.2019 has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mukerian, according to which, the statements of the parties have been recorded and the compromise is genuine and has been arrived at between them without any pressure or coercion.

3. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and complaint No.13, dated 23.12.2009, Police Station Talwara, District Hoshiarpur and order dated 08.03.2010 whereby the petitioners have been summoned under Sections 323, 452, 427, 341, 148, 149, 504, 506 & 120-B IPC along with all consequential proceedings having arisen therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioners.

April 21, 2023

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**