

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-14476-2018(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 27.07.2023

DEVINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. A.S.Jaswal, Advocate,
for Mr. Mahesh Dheer, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of complaint No.11143/302017 dated 04.10.2017, filed before DSP (D) Police Station, City, District Sangrur, Punjab, made by respondents no.5 and 6 on the ground that similar complaints made by same respondents have already been enquired and filed by SSP, Sangrur on 26.12.2013 vide Annexure P-2, SSP, Patiala, on 05.04.2014 vide Annexure P-4 and SSP, Sangrur vide Annexure P-6 on 27.08.2015. He further seeks directions to respondents no.1 to 3 to protect his life and liberty.

2. Status report dated 16.08.2018 by way of affidavit of Mr.Sandeep Garg, SP, District Sangrur, has already been filed by the State. The relevant para thereof reads as under:-

“4(V). That the SP (Investigation), District Sangrur concluded his report with the findings that a financial dispute is ongoing between the petitioner and the respondent Nos. 5 and 6, in which regard, the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 had moved complaints. The inquiry into the said complaints was being conducted by the DSP (Investigation), District Sangrur, who had summoned both the parties and the related persons to associate them in the inquiry.

Thereafter, both the parties came present and with the intervention of the respectable persons, both the parties had taken time to settle the dispute amongst them, however, the talks of compromise did not fructify because of which false allegations were being leveled against the DSP (Investigation). District Sangrur. From the inquiry conducted, the allegations leveled against the DSP (Investigation), District Sangrur were found to be false and no threat was assessed to the life and liberty of the petitioner. Finding no police action required on the complaints or the petition, recommendation has been made to file the same in the office.

The answering respondent thoroughly perused and considered the report of the SP (Investigation), District Sangrur and while agreeing with the same, approved it.”

3. In the premise, no ground is made out to interfere. The petitioner is, however, at liberty to approach the appropriate alternative forum for redressal of his grievance.
4. Dismissed.
5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

27.07.2023
'D'Vir

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No