

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRWP-1933-2023

Date of Decision: 15.05.2023

Kashmir Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1 The petitioners have moved the instant petition before this Court with a prayer to issue appropriate directions to the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners as they apprehend threats at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6.

2 Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner No. 1 is aged about 52 years whereas petitioner No. 2 is also aged more than 62 years. Marriage of the petitioner No. 1 was solemnized with respondent No. 4 and after the marriage, they cohabited as husband and wife and four children were born out of the said wedlock. Similarly, the marriage of the petitioner No. 2 was solemnized with Sarabjeet Kaur and out of the said wedlock, two children were born. However, petitioner No. 2 separated from his wife and was living all alone. About ten years ago, both the petitioners started living together and now they are getting threat calls from respondent No. 4. The petitioners also made a written complaint dated

21.01.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondents No. 2 and 3, but no action was taken on the same.

3 A short reply by way of affidavit of the Superintendent of Police (City) Moga, District Moga, has been filed on behalf of the official respondents. The learned State counsel submits that previously the petitioner No. 2 was working as 'Granthi' in a local Gurudwara and about one year and four months ago, petitioner No. 1 started living with him, but now both the petitioners had left village Ghal Kalan, Tehsil and District Moga and moved somewhere else without informing anybody in this regard. Even, the police had recorded the statement of the Sarpanch of the village Ghal Kalan in this regard. Since the petitioners were not found, proper action could not be taken. The learned counsel appearing for the State of Punjab has also assured the Court that in case any representation is received from the petitioners with regard to protection of life and liberty, action shall be taken in accordance with law.

4 In view of the assurance made by the learned State counsel, no further orders are required and the petition is, accordingly, disposed off.

15.05.2023
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No