

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

260

**CRM-M-11856-2021
Decided on : 24.04.2023**

Piyara Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H. S. Sullar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate
for the complainant-respondent No. 2.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 134, dated 07.12.2017 under Sections 431, 434 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Rupnagar, Annexure P-1 and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.08.2020, Annexure P-2.

Both the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court vide order dated 29.09.2022 for recording their statements in the context of genuineness of the compromise. The trial Court was also directed to submit its report with regard to genuineness of the compromise.

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 15.12.2022 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Sri Anandpur Sahib. A perusal of the said report reveals that statements of the concerned persons have been recorded in the present case, who have stated that the matter has been settled

between the parties and they have no objection in case; the FIR in question is quashed. The compromise effected between them is genuine, without any undue influence and coercion. It is stated in the report that there were 3 accused persons but one accused namely Amar Singh has been declared innocent and the present petition has been filed by two petitioners namely, Piyara Singh and Kulvir Singh. They have not been declared as proclaimed offenders and are not involved in any other case.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the case file.

The Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab**, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the prosecution where the High Court is of the view that the same was required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble The Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, had observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment reads thus:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code.

Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it

has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court. XXX---XXX”

In view of the judgments referred to above, perusing the report of the trial Court regarding amicable settlement between the petitioners and the complainant, this Court finds that quashing the FIR will accord a quietus to all disputes between the parties and it is in the interest of both sides to bury the hatchet and lead a peaceful life. Thus, no useful purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings and in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings in the present case deserve to be quashed.

Resultantly, the present petition is allowed and FIR No. 134, dated 07.12.2017 under Sections 431, 434 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Nurpur Bedi, District Rupnagar and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 26.08.2020 (Annexure P-2), are quashed qua the petitioners.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

24.04.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No