

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

262

CRM-M-8693-2019
Date of Decision: 02.08.2023

Bhagwana @ PappuPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

1. This petition was filed aggrieved of dismissal of application for further investigation.
2. None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Similar was the position on last three dates.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the accused has been acquitted.
5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are called for.
6. The petition is disposed of as infructuous.
7. Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the petition in case there is any factual discrepancy in the statement made by learned State counsel.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

02.08.2023
Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No