

2023:PHHC:058715-DB

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
246.**

CWP-4003-2023
Date of decision: 25.04.2022

VISHAL SONI AND ANOTHER **.....PETITIONERS**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 5/HSVP.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for respondents No. 2 to 5 has produced an e-mail dated 11.04.2023 received by him, according to which, SCO Nos. 14, 15 and 16 stands deleted and the allottees would be refunded the amount with interest where the possession of the SCO was not given to them nor conveyance deed executed in their favour.

As far as the petitioners are concerned, learned counsel for respondents No. 2 to 5 informs the Court that SCO No. 12, as was originally allotted and has been purchased by the petitioners subsequently, remains intact. This, he states, in the light of the revised layout plan, copy whereof has been appended along with the e-mail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that he would move an appropriate application for the demarcation and the handing over

the possession before the Estate Officer, HSVP, EO HUDA, Gurugram-II
i.e. respondent No. 5.

If such an application along with all other documents and formalities is filed, physical possession of the plot along with demarcation may be handed over to the petitioners as per the revised layout plan.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

25.04.2023
PJ

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>