

2023:PHHC:097115

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-318-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.07.2023

RAJESH BABU

... PETITIONER

VS.

ASHA RANI AND ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Anmol, Advocate for
Mr. K.S.Brar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioner has assailed the order dated 19.12.2022 vide which maintenance @ Rs.2,000/- per month has been awarded in favour of respondent No.1 and Rs.15,00/- per month each in favour of respondents No. 2 and 3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the amount of interim maintenance has been awarded on higher side as the father of the petitioner is also suffering from health issues and he has to look-after him.

3. The perusal of the impugned order indicates that the petitioner has admitted that he is earning Rs.10,700 per month. The impugned order also indicates that the petitioner is working as a Supervisor in Mittal Mall, Bathinda and getting salary of Rs.25,000/- per month. Besides, he is owner of movable and immovable properties and his total income is not less than Rs.50,000/- per month.

4. It has not been disputed that the petitioner is an able bodied person. Even on the assumption that the father of the petitioner is having some health issues, it cannot be termed to be a ground to reduce the amount of interim maintenance awarded in favour of the respondents. The amount

of interim maintenance awarded @ Rs. 2,000/- per month for the wife and Rs.1500/- per month each for the children cannot be termed to be excessive or on higher side or not commensurate with the income and status of the petitioner.

5. Dismissed.

26.07.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No