

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
117**

CWP-4118-2023

Date of decision: March 22, 2023

RAKESH BANSAL

.....PETITIONER

Versus

**HARYANA SEHRI VIKAS PRADHIKARAN AND
OTHERS**

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate,
and Ms. Shivani Sahni, Advocate,
and Mr. Tej Bahadur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner, who is a purchaser of a plot i.e. Commercial Booth No. 6, Sector 24-25A, Urban Estate, Gurugram, has approached this Court, who intends to transfer the plot from the site in question as there is no development work being carried out till date despite payment of the full amount.

Counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the Policy dated 08.03.2000 (Annexure P-3), which has been clarified on 10.10.2000 (Annexure P-4). He, thus, contends that it is a prayer which is being sought to be made which is permissible under the Policy, as has been framed by the respondents. Petitioner had also served a legal notice through counsel dated 14.01.2023 (Annexure P-5), which also has not been responded to till date.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pravindra S. Chauhan,

Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, we dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the Chief Administrator, Haryana Sehri Vikas Pradhikaran-respondent No. 2 to consider and take a decision on the legal notice dated 14.01.2023 (Annexure P-5) within a period of four weeks. Decision, so taken, be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

March 22, 2023
PJ

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*

Whether reportable: *Yes/No*