

2023:PHHC:147080

204     IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
           AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-4992-2022

Date of Decision:20.11.2023

ROHIT KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present :     Ms. Sunita Nautiyal, Advocate for  
                     Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate  
                     for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)**

1.             The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 27.10.2021 (Annexure P-5) and order dated 07.01.2022 (Annexure P-9) whereby complaint filed by petitioner has been dismissed. The matter relates to allotment of paddy for KMS 2021-2022.
2.             Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that question of entitlement and dis-entitlement of allotment of paddy for KMS 2021-2022 has become redundant with the efflux of time. At this Stage, State can neither allot nor deny paddy to anyone.
3.             In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the petition stands disposed of as infructuous. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application, if something survives.

( JAGMOHAN BANSAL )  
JUDGE

20.11.2023  
Ali

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |