

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CRM-M-10622-2023

Date of Decision : 01.03.2023

Yoshima and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.I.S.Saggu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners through instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. are seeking quashing of Complaint No. COMA 641 of 2022 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 12, 18, 19, 20, 22 and 23 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and summoning order dated 01.10.2022 (Annexure P-2) whereby the petitioners have been issued notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, on being confronted with the fact that the trial Court has not passed any adverse order, prays that personal appearance of the petitioners may be exempted and their right to challenge any adverse order passed against them may be protected.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with following directions :

- i) Personal appearance of the petitioners before trial Court shall remain exempt;
- ii) The petitioners shall remain present as and when specifically called by the trial Court;

- iii) The petitioners shall not raise any grouse on the sole ground of their absence, if any adverse order is passed against the petitioners;
- iv) The petitioners shall be at liberty to raise all the pleas before the trial Court and avail remedies as permissible by law, if any adverse order is passed against them.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.03.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>