

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(264)

CWP-3928-2023

Date of decision: - 16.11.2023

M/s Jeet Transport Co. and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Regional Transport Authority Patiala

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. P.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to include the timings of petitioners in the time table dated 30.11.2022 (Annexure P-9) on Shaheed Udham Singh Chowk Cheeka.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances of the petitioners, the petitioners have given a representation dated 07.12.2022 (Annexure P-10) and inspite of lapse of more than 10 months, neither the same has been decided nor the grievances of the petitioners have been met. It is further submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied, at this stage, in case the respondent considers the said representation in accordance with law, within a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious,

then, grant the appropriate relief.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the respondent would consider the said representation dated 07.12.2022 (Annexure P-10), filed by the petitioners, in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of three weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the representation dated 07.12.2022 (Annexure P-10) filed by the petitioners within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioners as expeditiously as possible. In case the respondent is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three weeks.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

November 16, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No