

2023:PHHC:131379

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

244

CRM-M-10076-2023

Date of Decision: 10th October, 2023

Amit Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Mandeep S. Sachdev, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Jashanpreet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Sourabh Goel, Sr. Standing Counsel with
Ms. Manika Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. has been filed seeking anticipatory bail in complaint No. COMA-399-2022 under Section 132(1)(i) of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 read with Section 20(xv) of the Integrated Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 and Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was never associated during the investigation or enquiry. He further submits that in the complaint the Chief Judicial Magistrate has directly issued Non-Bailable Warrants.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent is not in a position to dispute the fact that summoning of the petitioner was done directly by issuing Non-Bailable Warrants.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that petitioner would surrender before the Court concerned within two weeks from today and apply for regular bail, the same be directed to be

decided expeditiously.

5. The petition is disposed of. In eventuality of petitioner surrendering before the trial Court within two weeks from today and applying for regular bail, his application be decided by the Court concerned on the same day.
6. Since the main case has been disposed of the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

10th October, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No