

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 306 of 2000

Date of Decision: 17.02.2023

Ram Nath Verma

.....Petitioner

Vs

H.V.P.N. ETC.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Toor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, A.P.P., UT., Chandigarh
for the respondents.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

On 24.01.2023, the following order was passed.

“ Memo No.659 dated 28.01.2022
and Memo No. 661 dated 28.01.2022
are taken on record.

Office is directed to attach the aforesaid
documents at appropriate place.

On the strength of memo no.659
dated 28.01.2022, learned counsel for
the respondents submits that the
petitioner has received all the dues
under different heads including interest
on delayed payment as well as on date
nothing is due towards the respondents.
Learned counsel for the petitioner
seeks to verify the subject matter of
letter dated 28.01.2022.

Adjourned to 17.02.2023.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the factum of receiving all the dues under different heads including interest on the delayed payment could not be verified from his client.

This writ petition is disposed of as such by giving liberty to learned counsel for the petitioner that in case the statement of fact made by learned counsel for the respondents on the strength of Memos No.659 and 661 dated 28.01.2022 is ultimately found to be untrue, then the petitioner would be at liberty to file an application for revival of the instant petition.

Disposed of.

17th February, 2023

sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE