

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(202)

CWP-4372-1996

Date of Decision : August 21, 2023

Mahabir Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sachmeet Singh Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

It is a conceded position that the benefit of ante dated regularization have been granted to the petitioners except petitioners No. 2 and 7.

As per the affidavit filed dated 06.09.2022, the benefit of ante dated regularization was not given to petitioners No. 2 and 7 from the date when the other petitioners were given i.e. from the year 1986, hence, the petitioners cannot claim the same benefit as being extended to the other petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that nothing has come on record as to why the benefit of ante dated regularization as extended to the other petitioners have not been given to petitioners No. 2 and 7.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as in the present petition, the grievance qua the ante dated regularization is not under challenge hence, in the absence of any challenge to the same, no relief as claimed can be extended to the petitioners.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed qua petitioners No. 2 and 7 with liberty to challenge the action of the respondents in not granting them the ante dated regularization from the year 1986 as extended to the other petitioners.

Ordered accordingly.

August 21, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No