

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16165-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.04.2023

VINOD KUMAR .. Petitioner
Vs.
PRESIDING OFFICER INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND LABOUR COURT , UT AT CHD AND ORS. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jagjot Singh Lali, Addl. Standing Counsel
for U.T. Chandigarh-respondent Nos.2 and 3.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioner (workman) has filed this writ petition under Article 226 Constitution of India seeking writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned award dated 02.11.2021 (Annexure P-1), whereby his claim for setting aside termination order dated 27.04.2006 passed by Management-CTU, was rejected.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the similar writ petitions based on the same facts, wherein a similar impugned award dated 02.11.2021 have been decided against the workmen vide judgment dated 12.01.2023 and the present writ petition is also covered by the said decision.

Learned counsel has produced the copy of the decision dated 12.01.2023 passed by this court in CWP-16933-2022 and other connected writ petitions and stated that the finding of the labour Court deciding both the issues against the workman have been upheld by this Court.

Thus, in view of the above decision, the present writ petition is also dismissed.

18.04.2023
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE