

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1870-2023

Date of Decision:01.06.2023

Anshu

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. In compliance of the last order, the SHO Police Station Sadar, Yamuna Nagar has produced the petitioner in person. The petitioner submits that she is minor and she is staying at the shelter home “*One Stop Centre*” Yamuna Nagar. She further submits that she wants to go back with her parents.

2. Consequently, the custody of the petitioner is handed over to Ramesh Kumar, respondent No.4, who is present in the Court and is identified by Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate.

3. The petitioner had filed the present petition before this Court with a prayer to direct the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as she apprehends threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

4. Upon notice, a short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar has been filed on behalf of

respondents No.1 to 3. Learned State counsel contends that on the basis of complaint moved by wife of Ramesh, respondent No.4, a case FIR No.89 dated 25.02.2003, under Section 346 IPC was registered at Police Station Sadar, Yamuna Nagar. After registration of the FIR, the victim i.e. the petitioner was traced and her statements were recorded under Section 161 Cr.PC as well as Section 164 Cr.PC. Even the counseling of the minor victim Anshu@ Anshul, the petitioner was got done and after perusal of her statements, offence under Section 6 and 17 of POCSO Act were also added in the FIR.

Learned State counsel further contends that after findings sufficient incriminating evidence, the accused Ankit was arrested in the present case on 22.05.2023. Today during the Court proceedings, the petitioner has expressed her willingness to go back with her parents and there is no threat to her. Even during the inquiry proceeding, it was found that there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, this Court does not deem it appropriate to pass further orders and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

01.06.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No