

112 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9637-2020
Date of decision: 16.08.2023

SAHESTA PRAVEEEN

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Zenith Dogra, Advocate for
Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. On 31.07.2023, the following order was passed:-

"1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the legal notice (Annexure P-1).

2. Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 has been placed on record.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner had submitted multiple complaints, an inquiry in the matter was conducted, the complaints submitted by the petitioner were found to be without any substance and the same have been filed. Besides, the petitioner has a remedy as per the provisions of Section 156 (3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure or file a private complaint in the event she is aggrieved in any manner on account of any act committed by the private respondents.

4. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

5. In the interest of justice, adjourned to 16.08.2023.

6. To be shown in the urgent list."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present

CRM-M-9637-2020

-2-

petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy as may be available to him in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

16.08.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No