

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(205)

Hari Om

State of Haryana

Versus

CRM-M-10870-2023

Date of decision:- 25.05.2023

...Petitioner

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Rajender Singh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana
...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. This is the second petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of post-arrest bail in:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
124	22.03.2021	DLF Phase-I, Gurugram, District Gurugram	323, 342, 506 and 34, IPC and Sections 4, 6 and 17 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been acquitted and the petition has become infructuous.

3. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

25.05.2023

Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No