

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(201)

CWP-5734-2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 04.01.2023

Shagandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate
for Mr. R.K. Bagga, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order dated 29.07.2020 (Annexure P-3), vide which the petitioner has been debarred by respondent No.3 for two years.

On 22.12.2022, a Co-ordinate of this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 submits that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. The petitioner has challenged his disqualification for a period of two years for using unfair means in an examination. The said period has come to an end in March 2021.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 04.01.2023.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

December 22, 2022”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly submitted that since the period of two years has already elapsed, thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

January 04, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No