

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1819-2023

Date of Decision:22.03.2023

Garima Chauhan and anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Khushkaran K. Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 8.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners had filed the instant petition before this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 5 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat at the hands of respondents No.6 to 10.

Learned counsel contends that petitioner No.1 is major and after completing her graduation from Amity University, she met Amrit Pal Singh few years ago through a common friend. Ultimately, the petitioner No.1 and Amrit Pal Singh decided to marry with each other and had performed the marriage on 19.02.2023 against the wishes of the private respondents. He

further contends that both the petitioners apprehend threat from respondents No.6 to 10 and other relatives as they had threatened to kill petitioners.

A short reply by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Samrala, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Learned State counsel contends that both the petitioners are at present residing at Village Chak Mafi, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana. The statement of petitioner No.1 has been recorded and she stated that she had solemnized the marriage on 19.02.2023 with Amritpal Singh Sohan son of Gurmail Singh as per Sikh rites and rituals and was living with her husband in Village Chak Mafi, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana. She has no threat of any kind from anyone and does not want to proceed against anyone.

A short reply has also been filed on behalf of respondents No.6 to 8 in the instant case. Learned counsel contends that there is no threat to the life of either of the petitioners at their hands and they will not interfere in their lives and liberty.

In view of the statements made by learned State counsel and learned counsel representing the respondents No.6 to 8, no further orders are required and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

22.03.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No