

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARHCRM-M-10124 of 2022(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 12.05.2023

Jaskaranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Eeshan Garg, Advocate  
For the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Addl. AG Punjab.

None for the complainant.

\*\*\*

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case having FIR No. 320 dated 29.12.2021, registered under Sections 307, 308, 323, 325, 326, 506, 427, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala.
2. The counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case at the instance of complainant Manjeet Kaur. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that actually the opposite party caused grievous injuries to the petitioner with sharp edged weapons and with regard to said incident, DDR having GD No. 26 dated 03.01.2022 (Annexure P-10) was registered against the opposite party on the basis of statement of Manveer Kaur wife of Karamjeet Singh. That petitioner is nephew of said Manveer Kaur. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already joined the investigation with the police.

3. The State counsel, on instructions from ASI Alamjeet Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation or custodial interrogation by the police.

4. As per the status report filed by way of affidavit of Rajesh Kakkar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala on behalf of State, injury caused on right knee of complainant Manjeet Kaur was found to be grievous in nature and the same is stated to be caused by the petitioner by using blunt weapon which comes under Section 325 IPC which is a bailable offence. As per the said status report Jagdish Singh member of the opposite party caused grievous injury on left forearm of the petitioner with the help of sharp edged weapon and the same comes under Section 326 IPC. Admittedly, the case involves version as well as cross version and it is a matter of evidence as to who was the aggressor. Further, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation by the police. So, no purpose is going to be served by subjecting the petitioner to custodial interrogation at this point of time.

5. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and interim order dated 18.08.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**(KARAMJIT SINGH )**  
**JUDGE**

**12.05.2023**

Jiten

**Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**  
**Whether reportable : Yes/No**