

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.818 of 1994
Date of Decision: 03.03.2023**

Reshma & Others

...Appellants

Versus

Sham Singh & Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. Sanjiv Pabbi, Advocate,
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

Mr. Kushagra Beniwal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 in the instant appeal and has submitted his Power of Attorney in the Court today and the same is taken on the record.

As mentioned in the order dated 24.01.2023, learned counsel for the appellants had submitted on that day that he had no instructions from his clients regarding the present appeal and therefore, the notices were ordered to be issued to the appellants for today.

As reported by the Registry, notice issued to appellant No.1 has been received back with the report that she has died and appellant No.2 has been served through his brother and appellant No.3 has been duly served and it has also been reported on the respective notices issued to appellants No.2 & 3 that now, they have attained adulthood.

However, none has put in appearance in the Court today to

represent appellants No.2 & 3 or for being impleaded as the legal representative of appellant No.1.

In view of the above-discussed scenario, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the instant appeal for want of prosecution.

Resultantly, the appeal in hand stands dismissed accordingly.

03.03.2023
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>