

368(1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9855-2023
Date of decision: 11.04.2023

BALRAJ SINGH
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER
...Petitioner
...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Navinder Jit Singh Dandiwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. I.P.S. Sabbarwal, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

As per report of the trial Court, the parties had not appeared for recording of their statements before the trial Court.

Counsel for the petitioner failed to explain the reasons behind non-appearance of the parties before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate, which reflects that the compromise could not be materialised.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed with liberty reserved to the petitioner to come to this Court again, in case a genuine compromise is arrived between the parties.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

11.04.2023
manisha
(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No