

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-10279-2022

Date of Decision: 17.05.2023

Manroop Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Guramrit Kaur, DAG, Punjab
(assisted by ASI Kulbir Singh)Mr. J.S. Mahal, Advocate for complainant/respondent No.2
Complainant present in person

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 10.03.2022, the following order was passed:-

“The matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to marital discord between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents; the allegations against the petitioner with regard to demand of dowry are unsubstantiated and vague; the entire istridhan has already been taken by the complainant through an agreement dated 06.02.2022 the petitioner and the complainant had settled their disputes but the complainant has backed out of the same only to humiliate/harass the petitioner and that the petitioner is

also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 09.08.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr,P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.187, dated 30.10.2021, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station City Patti, District Tarn Taran, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatyr bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner to show his *bona fide* is ready to take away respondent No.2/complainant to his home. Learned counsel for the petitioner further stated that petitioner is even ready to transfer two acres of land in favour of their daughter.

3. The complainant, who is present in Court, denied to join the company of petitioner and refused the proposal of the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulbir Singh submits that petitioner has joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.
5. In view of above facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 10.03.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.
6. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.
7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and Trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.05.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>