

2023:PHHC:155172

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(272)

CWP No. 4827 of 2022
Date of Decision : 05.12.2023

Pardeep Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Mohit Pilania, Advocate for
Mr. Sushil Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the prayer of the petitioner is that the respondents be directed to issue appropriate direction to respondent No. 5 with regard to the engagement of the additional manpower against each sanctioned post of ADO.

A bare perusal of the pleadings would show that respondent No. 5 is a Private Company and no direction can be issued to a Private Company by way of writ petition hence, the writ petition is not maintainable.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to avail appropriate remedy.

Ordered accordingly.

December 05th, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No