

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-793-2020 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 26.04.2023**

Vinod Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Dilbag Singh and Others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN**

**Present:** None for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Longia, DAG, Haryana.

**ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)**

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 09.04.2019 passed in CR No.861 of 2017, in which the following observations were made:-

*“After arguing the matter for some time, learned State Counsel submits that order dated 30.07.2015 passed by the Prescribed Authority, Ellenabad [corrected vide order dated 02.09.2015] has not been put before the Tehsildar concerned, in order to enter mutation in favour of the petitioner. He further states that if the said request alongwith copy of orders is produced before the Tehsildar, the needful mutation shall be done within four weeks thereafter.*

*[2] The parties are at ad idem that this mutation shall be subject to outcome of other litigations.*

*[3] Needless to add that parties in litigation would be at liberty to raise their grievances, whatsoever, in appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.*

*[4] The Civil Revision Petition is disposed of accordingly.”*

Reply by way of affidavit of the Assistant Collector Second Grade-cum-Tehsildar Rania, District Sirsa, is on record, in which it is stated that in pursuance to the order dated 09.04.2019, mutation No.3068 was so prepared by the Patwari Halqa on 30.05.2019 and was also attested by the Halqa Kanungo, however, when the same was presented before the Assistant Collector Second Grade-cum-Tehsildar Rania, District Rania, the opposite party raised an objection on the ground that regarding the order dated 14.07.2015, on the basis of which the mutation No.3068 is entered was remanded back subsequently vide order dated 16.10.2018 by the Collector, Sirsa and therefore, the order dated 14.07.2015 is not in existence and the mutation No.3068 cannot be sanctioned and accordingly, on 11.11.2020, after hearing both the parties, the mutation was kept in abeyance as the matter is still sub judice.

Accordingly, no willful disobedience of the order is made out.

Dismissed.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**26.04.2023**  
*yakub*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No