

102

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5340-2020

Date of decision : 19.01.2023

Rashid Ali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 29.10.2019 issued vide endorsement dated 25.01.2019 passed by respondent No.1, whereby, suspension order of respondent No.6 has been revoked.

On 13.01.2023, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would not challenge the order dated 29.11.2019 (Annexure P-6) as far as the suspension order of respondent No.6 has been set aside but states that since, in the present case, inquiry has been initiated, the same be concluded against the Sarpanch.

Learned State Counsel is directed to get instructions as to whether any inquiry is pending against respondent No.6. Adjourned to 19.01.2023.”

Learned State Counsel, in response to the same, on instructions from Mohinder Kumar, Patwari, BDPO Office, Indri has submitted that as on date, no inquiry is pending against respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the same, has submitted that the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous and thus, prays that the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

19.01.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- **Yes/No**

Whether reportable:- **Yes/No**