

**114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1762-2023

Date of Decision: 23.02.2023

Laila and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide adequate security and protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their life from respondent No.4 and not to interfere in their peaceful life.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondent no.4 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent no.4. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 20.02.2023 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them

protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

23.02.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No