

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 122

CRWP-1740-2023

Date of decision : 23.02.2023

Avtar Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of UT, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.H.S.Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl.P.P., UT, Chandigarh.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India the petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the respondents to consider his case for premature release.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage he would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the present petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and take a decision thereupon in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

Finding the afore alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner to be reasonable and because the State has no objection to its acceptance, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the present petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and then take a final decision thereupon within two months from today in accordance with law.

23.02.2023

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No