

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3703-2023
Date of decision: 11.09.2023

Navreet SinghPetitioner
V/s
State of Punjab and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents No.2 for granting of promotion and other consequential benefits to the petitioner to the post of Senior Branch Officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was appointed as Salesman in the respondent No.2-MARKFED on dated 15.06.2001 on the salary of Rs.3120/- per month along with all the consequential benefits. He submits that the petitioner was not given timely promotion and as per order dated 15.04.2020 the petitioner has been promoted from Salesman to Assistant Field Officer bearing Code No.5880, after completing 19 years of service, whereas as per the minimum bench mark, the petitioner should have been promoted and attained the designation of Field Officer and further promoted as Branch Officer and then as Senior Branch Officer as per service record of the petitioner. Learned counsel again submits that petitioner has submitted representation dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure P-1) to respondents, which has not been taken into

consideration till date and the petitioner would be satisfied if necessary directions are given for deciding the representation in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that respondent No.2 is the competent authority to decide the representation and does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure P-1), respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation by affording personal hearing to the petitioner, by passing a speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order with a further direction that if the petitioner is found to be entitled to the claim mentioned in the abovesaid representation, necessary disbursement of funds shall be made to him within a period of 8 weeks thereafter.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

11.09.2023
Sapna

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No