

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-8054-2019 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 19.10.2023**

Sunita Mattu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

\*\*\*

Present :- Mr. Divyansh Vats, Advocate for  
Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.  
Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate, for respondent no. 4.

\*\*\*

**PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing official respondents to conduct the investigation expeditiously, fairly and properly on the complaint dated 26.10.2018 and to take legal action against the accused and to register the FIR.

2. Reply by way of affidavit of Sarwan Singh Bal, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division, Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala, has been filed on behalf of respondent nos. 1 to 3. The stand of the State has been culled out in para 3 thereof, which reads as under:-

*“3. That on transfer of Sh. Tejbir Singh, further inquiry has been conducted by Sh. Vihaljit Singh, Dy. Superintendent of Police Sub Division Sultanpur Lodhi, who also summoned the parties, perused the record and verify the facts. It is revealed that dispute regarding money between the parties has been resolved. It also came to light that civil suit was*

*pending in the court of Learned Additional Civil Judge Sultanpur Lodhi in which compromise has been effected by the parties. Hence it is concluded by the Inquiry Officer that the allegations are false and baseless in both the complaints. The inquiry Officer recommended vide report dated 12.07.2019 that no action is required on both the complaints. He further recommended that both the applications be filed. The inquiry report has been submitted before Sr. Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala, who has made endorsement "seen and file". The Inquiry has been conducted fairly, independently without any pressure or influence by the Inquiry Officer. Since the applications have been filed, the question of registration of any case does not arise as prayed. In this view of the matter the petition is liable to be dismissed."*

2. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

3. Allowed as prayed for.

**( PANKAJ JAIN )  
JUDGE**

**19.10.2023**

VS

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No  
Yes/No