

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

225-CRM-M-9608-2023

Date of Decision: 20.03.2023

\*\*\*\*

JUBER

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent

\*\*\*\*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. AS Shera, Advocate for petitioner

\*\*\*\*

**Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.262 dated 18.05.2022 under Sections 148/149/323/452/506 IPC (Section 302 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadar Nuh, District Nuh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been attributed with a danda blow and it stands recovered as well. He further contends that the fatal blow has been given by mother of the petitioner, namely, Aamini, on the head with farsa blow. He claims parity with the co-accused who has been granted regular bail in **CRM-M-11221-2023 (Akbar vs. State of Haryana)** decided on 13.03.2023.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG Haryana accepts notice and Mr. Talim Hussain, Advocate accepts notice for the complainant.

Learned State counsel has filed custody certificate dated 19.03.2023, which is taken on record. According to which, the petitioner has undergone 9 months and 18 days of actual custody.

In view of the above and also the fact that co-accused Akbar has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court, and also

taking into consideration the fact that the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The present petition is, therefore, allowed.

20.03.2023

*V.Vishal*

- 1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
- 2. *Whether reportable?*

**(Sandeep Moudgil)**  
**Judge**

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*