

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

248

CR-604-2021

Decided on : December 12, 2023

RAJESH KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

BAL KRISHAN

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate for the respondent.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing and even today no one is present on his behalf.

The instant revision petition has been preferred by the petitioner and tenant impugning the order dated 23.02.2021 whereby two applications i.e. one filed by the petitioner for staying the operation of order dated 09.01.2020 passed by learned Rent Controller, Dhuri and other filed by the respondent/landlord for fixing *mesne profits* of the demised premises during the pendency of the appeal have been disposed of by directing that the operation of the eviction order under appeal shall remain stayed till further orders subject to payment of arrears of rent, if any, outstanding against him and *mesne profits* @ Rs.3,000/- per month from the date of eviction till March, 2021.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the appeal itself has been dismissed by learned Appellate Authority, Sangrur, vide order dated 11.01.2023, therefore, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

All pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

December 12, 2023

mkkoundal

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*