

CRM-M-9657-2023

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CRM-M-9657-2023

Date of decision:- 04.09.2023

Charanjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sidakmeet S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab
for State-respondents No.1 to 4.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Navinder Jit Singh Dandiwal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.5 and has filed Memorandum of Appearance, which is taken on record.

2. By way of present petition, petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of direction to official respondents No.2 to 4 to take action against respondent No.5 on complaint dated 05.01.2023 and representation dated 03.02.2023, Annexures P-5 and P-8, respectively.

3. In response to notice issued, official respondents have filed a status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police (City), Moga, District Moga, wherein it has been *inter-alia* submitted as under:-

“3. That on the basis of said information initially a case bearing FIR No.02 Dated 03.01.2023 u/s 323/506/188 IPC and 27 Arms act PS City Moga was registered against petitioner, Shammi Gabba and one unknown accused.

4. That during investigation on 13.01.2023 complainant got recorded his supplementary statement with the police on the basis of same Ashish Gabba @ Shammi Gabba son of Vijay Gabba resident of Prem Nagar, Moga and Gurmeet Singh son of Mohinder Singh resident of Ghangroli were nominated as accused.

5. That during investigation of the case, accused persons have joined the investigation in compliance of the orders passed by the Ld. Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Moga. Investigation of said case has already been completed and challan will be presented before the Ld. Court after completion of formalities.

6. That it is also submitted here that petitioner had also moved application Annexure P-5 before the SSP, Moga and during enquiry all the allegations levelled by the petitioner were found to be false and said application of the petitioner was consigned.”

4. In view of the response of the official respondents, nothing survives in the present petition, which is hereby dismissed.

04.09.2023

Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No