

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(256)

CWP-5558-2021

Date of decision: - 20.02.2023

Shamsher Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.3 and 4 for taking action against respondents No.5 and 6, who are Sarpanch and Administrator of the village panchayat Teja.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing for respondent No.6 have referred to the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, more so, paragraph No.4, as per which, the inquiry had been conducted and it had been found that the allegations levelled by the petitioner as regards the embezzlement have been found to be false and baseless.

Paragraph 4 of the said reply is produced herein below:-

“That it is apparent from the detailed submissions made hereinabove that the answering respondents are performing their duties diligently and with utmost care. As submitted hereinabove, the allegation leveled by the petitioner as regards embezzling the panchayat property, causing loss to panchayat as well as illegally and unauthorisedly allotting/giving land for cremation ground to the residents of the village by respondent nos.5 and 6 have been duly inquired into by answering respondent No.4 and have been found to be false and baseless. Further, the answering respondents are duty bound to inquire into any allegations that may be leveled against its officers or against the electric representatives of the village. Thus, the present petition deserves to be dismissed in the interest of justice.”

Neither anybody has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said averments, nor any replication has been filed with respect to the same.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

February 20, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No