

2023:PHHC:117509

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRM-M-9692-2023

Date of Decision: 6th September, 2023

Yadwinder Singh @ Yad

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 31 dated 18.2.2020 under Section 21 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and under Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at P.S. Special Task Force, Phase-4, Mohali, District SAS Nagar.

2. The main ground for seeking bail is the custody period and the fact that the trial is not progressing at decent pace.

3. Learned State Counsel submits that out of total twenty two prosecution witnesses, eleven have been examined, nine given up and two prosecution witnesses are left to be examined. She further submits that an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining prosecution witnesses within six weeks from the next date before the Trial Court i.e. 11.09.2023.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition at this stage. The prayer is that trial Court be directed to expedite the trial.
5. Dismissed as not pressed.
6. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for early conclusion of trial, the same would be considered in accordance with law.
7. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

6th September, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No