

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(296)

**CR-1280-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.07.2023**

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amarjit Singh and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr.Lovish Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARKESH MANUJA, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present revision petition, prayer has been made for setting aside the impugned order dated 20.09.2022 passed by the learned Rent Controller, Amritsar, vide which the application filed under Section 65 of the Evidence Act for proving agreement dated 03.06.2002 stands dismissed.

On 27.02.2023, this Court passed the following order:

*“Contends, inter-alia, that petitioner is inclined to
compensate the respondents by way of costs of Rs.15,000/-.*

Notice of motion for 13.04.2023.

Notice re: interim stay as well.

Process Dasti also.”

2. A perusal of the office report shows that notice could not be issued to the respondents for want of deposit of process fee. It appears that the petitioner did not even deposit the costs of Rs.15,000/- as imposed at the time of issuance of notice of motion.

3. Moreover, the status of the rent petition has been traced out from the ‘e-Court portal’ and it has been found that the rent petition already stands allowed vide order dated 06.03.2023 passed by the Rent Controller, Amritsar, thereby, rendering the present revision as infructuous.

4. In view thereof, the present revision is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

26.07.2023
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No