

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No. 109

CRWP-1770-2023

Date of Decision:-24.02.2023

KAJAL KUMARI & ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present:- Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the officials respondents to protect their life and liberty, who are facing threats from respondents No.4 and 5 as they are residing in live-in relationship.

Learned counsel submits that both the petitioners are major and are residing in live-in relationship and respondent Nos.4 and 5 are bent upon to cause harm to them due to the aforesaid relationship. He further submits that apprehending threat from the private respondents, the petitioners have submitted representation to respondent No.2 through courier on 17.02.2023, however, no action has been taken till date.

Learned counsel prays that keeping in view the threat perception, the petitioners would be satisfied if their representation dated 17.02.2023 (Annexure P-3) sent to respondent No.2 be ordered to be decided expeditiously.

Considering the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 17.02.2023 (Annexure P-3) sent through courier and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

**(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE**

24.02.2023

yogesh

Whether reasoned / speaking?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No