

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**108**

**CRM-M-9268-2023 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 22.02.2023**

Ajaypal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present : Mr. Azad Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to decide his representation dated 15.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) and take necessary legal action against respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a representation was given on 15.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural District Amritsar, however, respondent No.2 had failed to come to his rescue. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his application.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police Rural District Amritsar, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if

respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 15.02.2023 (Annexure P-2), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

22.02.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No