

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CWP-4176 of 1995 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.01.2023**

PARKASH KAUR

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.S.Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The petitioner was appointed as a Class-IV employee on a part time basis on 25.08.1987. She was relieved from the job on 05.11.1994 as a regularly appointed employee had been appointed on the said post. The writ petition has remained pending in this court for the last 28 years.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that in view of the instructions issued by the respondents, the name of the petitioner was required to be considered along with other candidates.

3. On the other hand, it is stated by the respondent-State that the Punjab Government constituted a recruitment committee under the Chairmanship of the Director, Administration, Punjab to select the candidates for the said post. The names of the candidates were called from the Employment Exchange as per the policy of the State but the name of the petitioner was not in the list provided by the Employment Exchange, whereas Smt. Santosh Rani's name was recommended by the Employment Exchange.

4. As already noticed, the petitioner was appointed in the year 1987. Now she must have attained the age of superannuation. No doubt, the instructions issued by the respondent-State should have been followed, however, at this stage, the petitioner cannot be granted any effective relief.
5. Disposed of accordingly.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

January 09, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>