

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

205

CWP-4630-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.03.2023

KRISHAN KUMAR AND ORS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh Manhas, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the letter dated 05.02.2019 (Annexure P-16), vide which the petitioner was relieved from the post of Peon w.e.f. 05.02.2019.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for redressal of their grievances, the petitioners will move a fresh representation and they would be satisfied if the said representation is ordered to be decided in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel has no objection in allowing the aforesaid limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners. He, however, submits that the petitioners were appointed just to meet out the urgent requirement of the college and that too for a fixed period.

In view of the above and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petitioners would be at liberty to move a representation for redressal of their grievances, within two weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, if so advised.

On their doing so, respondent No.2-Director Higher Secondary, Department of Education, Panchkula, is directed to decide the said representation, within a period of eight weeks thereafter, by passing a speaking order, as per law.

Disposed of in the above terms.

29.03.2023

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>