

2023:PHHC:067553

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-11693-2016**

Date of Decision: 10.05.2023

KULWANT SINGH UPPAL

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Amol, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 to 7.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Harmeet Singh, submits that an inquiry on the application submitted by the complainant was conducted. Precisely, the allegations levelled by the petitioner were to the effect that the private respondents had deceitfully extracted the amount and had fired gunshot at the construction site. An inquiry in the matter has been conducted and the inquiry report reveals that the allegations are not proved. Consequently, the application of the petitioner was ordered to be filed. The petitioner had also submitted an another application dated 22.02.2016 and the same was also ordered to be filed on the basis of inquiry report of SHO.

3. In the instant case, inquiry on the application submitted by the petitioner has been conducted and the allegations in the application have been found to be without any substance. In the event any of the private respondents has committed cognizable offence and the police has not initiated any action in the matter, the petitioner can avail the alternative remedy as may be available in accordance with law and no further action is called for in the present petition.

4. Disposed of.

10.05.2023

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No