

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-15394-CWP-2003 in/and
RA-269 of 2003 in/and
CWP-15464 of 2001(O&M)
Date of Order:15.05.2023

Tarlochan Singh & others

.Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab
for the review-applicants-respondents

Mr. Akshay Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. S.S.Rana, Advocate
for the non-applicant-petitioners.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

C.M.No. 15394-CWP-2003

For the reasons mentioned in the application, which is supported by an affidavit, the delay in filing the review application is condoned.

RA-CWP-269 of 2003

The writ petition filed by the petitioners was disposed of in terms of the judgment passed in Surinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 17.01.2002. This review application has been filed by the State of Punjab on the ground that the judgment passed in Surinder Singh's case has been set aside by the Supreme Court in State of Punjab and others vs. Surinder Singh and others(Civil Appeal no(s).3487-3492 of 2004, decided on 12.02.2015.

The learned counsel representing the non-applicants-petitioners

submits that he has no objection to allowing of the review application and for disposal of the writ petition in terms of the judgment passed by the Supreme Court on 12.02.2015.

Keeping in view the consensus arrived at between the learned counsels representing the parties, the review application is allowed. Taken on Board for final disposal.

CWP-15464 of 2001

As prayed for by the learned counsel representing the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of the detailed judgment passed by the Supreme Court on 12.02.2015.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 15, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :YES/NO
Whether reportable :YES/NO