

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

222

CWP No. 4510-2019 (O&M)
Decided on : 02.11.2023

YAD RAM AND ORS.

...PETITIONERS

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Amarjit Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that inadvertently, the details of the employees, who were similarly situated to the petitioners but were granted the benefit of regularization of their services in preference to the petitioners, could not be mentioned in the petition, hence, the petitioners intend to approach the respondents by giving the details of the employees, who were either similarly situated or were junior to the petitioners but were granted the benefit of regularization of their services in preference to the petitioners and the respondents be directed to decide the said grievance in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in case, any grievance of the petitioners qua the name of the persons, who were granted the benefit of regularization of their services in preference to the petitioners is raised before the appropriate authorities, the said grievance will be considered and decided within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order by passing appropriate speaking order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view

the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed, at this stage, with liberty to file a representation with respondents so as to raise all the claims as raised here above.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

02.11.2023

Riya

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>