

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

137

CRWP-1653-2023

Date of Decision : 21.02.2023

Saddam

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that at the behest of the petitioner, FIR No.24 dated 09.02.2023, at Police Station Nagina, District Nuh, under Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offence Act, 2012 and Section 506 of IPC has been registered against the private respondents and they are pressurising the petitioner to compromise the matter and withdraw the complaint. He further submits that one relative of the accused is sitting MLA, thus, police is not taking appropriate action against the respondents and life of the petitioner is in danger.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Brij Pal submits that one accused stands arrested and the police is making free and fair investigation. She assures the Court that grievance of the petitioner would be looked into and if it is found that there is threat perception from accused, the appropriate steps would be taken.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, this Court is sanguine of the fact that the police authorities would proceed in accordance with law and protect the life and liberty of the petitioner. The police authorities are expected to conclude investigation of afore-stated FIR in free and fair manner and take the investigation to a logical end.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.02.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>