

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3681-1995(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.12.2023

Nanak Chand and others
... Petitioners
Versus
The Haryana State and others
... Respondents

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana,
Mr. Karan Jindal, Asstt. AG, Haryana, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petition at hand is pending since 1995, and almost 30 years have gone by. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that as of today, no wooden kiosks exist at the site that were alleged to have been set up by the petitioners and were being occupied by them. Rather, it is urged that concrete/permanent structures have been erected at site and, therefore, the petition appears to have rendered infructuous with the efflux of time and be disposed of as such.

However, it is submitted that in case any dispute, cause of action or interest still survives, the petitioners shall be at liberty to move appropriate application for restoration of the petition and its decision on merits.

Ordered accordingly.

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

**(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge**

01.12.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO