

2023:PHHC:110652

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-14411-2023

Date of Decision: 24th August, 2023

Harish Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed praying for quashing of proclamation order dated 17.10.2022 vide which the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person for non-appearance.

2. On 22.3.2023, the following order was passed :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide order dated 17.10.2022 (Annexure P-1), the petitioner was declared as proclaimed person. Reference has been made to the orders passed by the Court from time to time and it has been submitted that perusal of these orders would show that the petitioner had never been served. It has been contended that efforts were being made to serve the petitioner at his work place whereas he is a resident of Panchkula. He submits that the petitioner is willing to submit to the jurisdiction of the Court to settle the matter but apprehends that on appearance, he shall be arrested.

Notice of motion for 12.05.2023.

Till then, operation of the impugned order shall remain stayed.

In the meantime, it shall be open to the petitioner to appear before the trial Court and seek his remedies of regular bail etc. in accordance with law.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had surrendered before the Trial Court and was granted bail, thereafter the matter was compromised and the case was withdrawn.
4. In view of the above, no further directions are called for.
5. Petition is disposed of as infructuous.
6. Since the main case has been disposed of the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

24th August, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No