

102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9111-2023 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 16.03.2023

DILSHER SINGHPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate for applicant/respondent No.2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-11451-2023

Prayer in this application is for impleadment of complainant as respondent No.2.

Notice in the application.

Mr. A.P.S. Sandhu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the non-applicant/petitioner, who has no objection in case the above said application is allowed.

In view of the averments made in the application as well as no objection suffered by learned counsel for the non-applicant/petitioner, the application is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Complainant is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2 in the array of memo of parties.

Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CRM-M-9111-2023

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Allowed as prayed for.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

16.03.2023

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No